

## 0.1 Introduction to Complex Analysis

- Talk on elementary complex analysis by a high school freshman
- What is complex analysis? Study of functions of complex numbers
- Why is this relevant? Zeta function, quantum mechanics, electrical and nuclear engineering
- Proving some fundamental results and theorems today, working through the actual math

## 0.2 Defining Terms

### NOTATION

- Assume viewer knows complex numbers and single-variable calculus, multivariable is useful
- $z$  = complex number
- Complex plane - real axis, imaginary axis
- $\frac{df}{dx}$  - dee eff dee ecks, derivative of 1-var function  $f(x)$  with respect to  $x$  ofc
- New to multivariable -  $\frac{\partial f}{\partial x}$ , del eff del ecks, partial derivative of  $f$  with respect to  $x$  in a multi-var function  $f(x, y, \dots)$  where the other vars are constant
- $\iint_D f(x) dx$  - area integral over a region  $D$ , volume under a surface instead of area under a curve
- $\oint_C f(z) dz$  - integral over a closed curve  $C$ , ccw + cw - somex no circle
- line/contour integrals and compdiff follow similar rules to real vers

### TERMS

- Complex differentiable; similar to real differentiability, that  $\lim_{z \rightarrow z_0} \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0}$  exists at  $z_0$
- $f(z)$  is holomorphic over a set if it is compdiff at every point of that set
- $f(x)$  is analytic if expressible as convergent power series:  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (x - a)^n$ . Proof that all holomorphic functions are analytic (relate back to  $\zeta$ )
- mention that some things (eg del cont) will be skipped even when necessary preconditions

### 0.3 Deriving Cauchy-Riemann

- comp to real diff as follows: holomorphic  $f(z) = f(x + iy) = u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$  for two real realdiff 2-var  $u, v$
- $f(z)$  is guaranteed to be compdiff from any dir (holomorphic), incl from vertical/horiz dirs
- $\Delta z = \Delta x + i\Delta y$ : horizontal,  $\Delta y = 0$  so  $\Delta z = \Delta x$  then:

$$\begin{aligned}
 f'(z) &= \lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z + \Delta z) - f(z)}{\Delta z} = \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(x + \Delta x + iy) - f(x + iy)}{\Delta x} \\
 &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{(u(x + \Delta x, y) + iv(x + \Delta x, y)) - (u(x, y) + iv(x, y))}{\Delta x} \\
 &= \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(x + \Delta x, y) - u(x, y)}{\Delta x} + i \lim_{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{v(x + \Delta x, y) - v(x, y)}{\Delta x} \\
 &= \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \quad (1)
 \end{aligned}$$

- same for vertical so  $\Delta x = 0$  so  $\Delta z = i\Delta y$  (rmb  $\frac{1}{i} = -i$ , factor first, cancel second)
- then  $f'(z) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$  meaning  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + i \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - i \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}$  and since Re/Im have to equal:

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} = -\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \quad (2)$$

### 0.4 Gen. Stokes'/Green's Theorems and Deriving the Integral Theorem

- Start at MVC over reals: Generalized Stokes equation, somex FTMVC:  $\int_{\partial\Omega} \omega = \int_{\Omega} d\omega$  - integral over boundary region big omega to integral over region itself
- 2D complex plane: Green's theorem spec. case: for 2 2-var real realdiff funcs  $L(x, y); M(x, y)$ :

$$\oint_C (Ldx + Mdy) = \iint_D \left( \frac{\partial M}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial L}{\partial y} \right) dx dy \quad (3)$$

- Looks like Cauchy-Riemann! Again, holomorphic  $f(z)$  separated into Re/Im:  $u(x, y) + iv(x, y)$ . Take integral over  $\gamma$  of  $f(z) dz$ , also separate differential:  $dz = dx + i dy$ :

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \oint_{\gamma} (u + iv)(dx + i dy) \rightarrow \oint_{\gamma} (u dx - v dy) + i \oint_{\gamma} (v dx + u dy) \quad (4)$$

- Expand and factor. Green's theorem: replace contour integrals with area integrals over D:

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \iint_D \left( -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) dx dy + \iint_D \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \right) dx dy \quad (5)$$

- $f(z)$  is holomorphic over  $D$ , must be compdiff at  $z \in D$ , ergo Cauchy-Riemann must be satisfied by  $u, v$ . Substitute:

$$\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = \iint_D \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \right) dx dy + \iint_D \left( \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right) dx dy = \iint_D 0 dx dy + \iint_D 0 dx dy = 0 \quad (6)$$

- $\oint_{\gamma} f(z) dz = 0$  for holomorphic  $f(z)$  over  $D$  bounded by  $\gamma$ . Called Cauchy's integral theorem, one of the major fund. results in compan. Can be proven only by using the fact that  $f(z)$  is compdiff over the region containing  $\gamma$  - eg holomorphic!

## 0.5 Deriving Cauchy's Integral Formula

- State the formula, explain derivation: val of complex func at pt if you know values around it & func is holomorphic

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz \quad (7)$$

- Start with  $f(z)$  holomorphic over open set  $D$ , and closed ccw circle  $C$  in  $D$  with  $z_0$  within  $C$  and an arbitrarily small circle  $\gamma$  centered at  $z_0$  entirely in  $C$ .
- "So wait a minute, doesn't  $\oint_C \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz$  evaluate to 0 by Cauchy's integral theorem (same for  $\gamma$ )?" No, since within  $C$  and  $\gamma$  at point  $z_0$   $\frac{f(z)}{z - z_0}$  is undefined, eg not holomorphic at  $z_0$
- To fix, combine  $C$  with  $\gamma$  to form two ccw loops:  $C^+$  and  $C^-$ . Neither contains  $z_0$ , eg  $\frac{f(z)}{z - z_0}$  is holomorphic for each, and the integral of the function over each is 0
- We can see that the sum of these two integrals is equal to the difference of the integral over  $C$  and  $\gamma$ : the outer loop around  $C$  is ccw, the inner loop around  $\gamma$  is cw, and the lines cancel:

$$\oint_C \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz - \oint_\gamma \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz = \oint_{C^+} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz + \oint_{C^-} \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz \quad (8)$$

- The right side equals zero, implying the integrals over  $C$  and  $\gamma$  are equal. We can then rewrite the right side in terms of  $f(z_0)$ :

$$\oint_\gamma \frac{f(z)}{z - z_0} dz = \oint_\gamma \frac{f(z) + f(z_0) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz = \oint_\gamma \frac{f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz + \oint_\gamma \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz \quad (9)$$

- Treat these like integrals you're used to: separate addition, separate out  $f(z_0)$  b/c independent of the var of differentiation

$$\oint_\gamma \frac{f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz + \oint_\gamma \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz = f(z_0) \oint_\gamma \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz + \oint_\gamma \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz \quad (10)$$

- It can be proven using parameterization and contour deformation that term  $\oint_\gamma \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz = 2\pi i$  for any closed curve  $\gamma$  (using  $z_0 + x_0 + e^{it}$  as the circle around the origin of radius 1)

$$\oint_\gamma \frac{1}{z - z_0} dz = \int_0^{2\pi} \frac{i e^{it} dt}{e^{it}} = \int_0^{2\pi} i dt = 2\pi i \quad (11)$$

- We can then prove  $\oint_\gamma \frac{f(z) - f(z_0)}{z - z_0} dz = 0$ : this function is necessarily holomorphic because  $f(z)$  is (there's a bit more to it but interest of time), and here we can apply Cauchy's theorem to let this equal 0. We get our intended original result.

## 0.6 Proof of Analyticity

- Take an open ball  $A$  contained in  $D$  centered at  $a$ , rewrite the integral formula as:

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)(1-\frac{z_0-a}{z-a})} dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{f(z)}{z-a} \left(\frac{z_0-a}{z-a}\right)^n dz \quad (12)$$

- $|\frac{z_0-a}{z-a}| < 1$  guaranteed since  $|z_0-a| < |z-a|$  since  $z_0$  is within  $D$  and  $z$  is on  $C$ , and an open ball is a circle; then move the other terms inside the infinite sum
- taking each term individ.:  $f(z)$  is continuous and therefore bounded by some finite value  $M$ ;  $|\frac{1}{z-a}|$  is also finite and equal to  $r$  (radius);  $(\frac{z_0-a}{z-a})^n < 1$  and therefore for some  $0 \leq N < 1$   $|\left(\frac{z_0-a}{z-a}\right)^n| < N$
- therefore  $|\frac{1}{z-a}| |\left(\frac{z_0-a}{z-a}\right)^n| |f(z)| \leq \frac{1}{r} MN^n$  and the infinite sum of that sequence converges, so by Weierstrass M the inf sum converges uniformly and absolutely on  $D$ , meaning we can swap the sum and integral:

$$f(z_0) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \oint_C \frac{f(z)}{z-a} \left(\frac{z_0-a}{z-a}\right)^n dz \quad (13)$$

- factor out the term independent of the var of int and you get a power series expansion!

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (z_0-a)^n \left( \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(z)}{(z-a)^{n+1}} dz \right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n (z_0-a)^n \quad (14)$$

- rmb this only holds for open balls - contour deformation allows it to work for everything as long as it's not around another singularity
- corollary - radius of convergence is equivalent to the distance to nearest singularity b/c greatest radius of open ball within which  $f(z)$  is holomorphic

## 0.7 Consequences and Conclusion

- Things like complex rigidity, analytic continuation, convergent power series

## 0.8 Intro

- introduce yourself
- introduce companion
- relevant - zeta function (distribution of the primes), quantum mechanics (eg wave function), electrical/nuclear engineering (reactor kinetics, plasma physics)
- walking through some fundamental proofs, but i'm not very good and do skip some things (intentionally or not) so wikipedia and other math sources are a good bet

## 0.9 Conclusion

- some corollaries of the last proof
- radius of convergence equals distance to nearest singularity
- identity theorem and complex rigidity - knowing the values on a finite area tells you the values everywhere
- holomorphic are infinitely differentiable since power series are - formula derived from Cauchy's integral formula also allows us to calculate derivatives at every point - specifically the  $n$ th derivative at  $z_0$  is:

$$\frac{n!}{2\pi i} \oint_C \frac{f(z)}{(z - z_0)^{n+1}} dz \quad (15)$$

- these basics plus another fundamental result from the integral formula that i didn't mention in the interest of time and simplicity, the residue theorem, form the basis of most complex analysis
- that's all i have time for without going over an hour (this talk probably has anyway), but there's a lot more to complex analysis than this - wikipedia is a good source if i didn't explain something well enough, which probably happened, and there are plenty of other sources online. the comments section also exists, as does chatgpt, if you have questions. thanks for listening!